

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,411	02/08/2002	Timothy R. Baker	135 P 090	2899	
26568	7590 06/10/2003				
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLE			R LTD EXAMINER		
SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60606			VALENZA,	VALENZA, JOSEPH E	
CITICAGO, IL	, 00000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/072,411	BAKER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Valenza	3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 /	May 2003					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows		ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under			, 10			
	on of Claims						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
· · · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		he Examiner				
.0,	•·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
,_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		,				
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•			
	- <u></u>						

Page 2

·Application/Control Number: 10/072,411

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 4-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake in view of Lem et al.

It would have been obvious to replace the endless pusher 7 with separate article supports 9 of Drake with the multiple endless belts 14 of Lem et al because they both are used to convey rectangular articles in a selective delivery environment. Note that elongated track 16, 17 receives mounting members 18, 19 of redirecting accessory 20. With regard to claim 2, the design of the track and mounting member has not been shown to be critical to the operation of the system and are, therefore, matters of choice over the functionally equivalent elements in Drake.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake in view of Lem et al and Clopton.

It would have been obvious to add the teachings of U-shaped tracks (surrounding slot 56) of Clopton and slide plate accessory mounting means 72 of Clopton to the frame members 16, 17 of Drake in the structure of paragraph 2.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drake in view of Lem et al and Norton.

, Application/Control Number: 10/072,411

Art Unit: 3651

It would have been obvious to add the teachings external accessory mounting holes (unnumbered) in members 34 of Norton to the frame members 16, 17 of Drake in the structure of paragraph 2.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to 703-305-7687. My normal workweek is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph E. Valenza